

Laparoscopic hysterectomy vs.
open abdominal hysterectomy

CLEAR BENEFITS FOR YOU & YOUR PATIENTS.

Let's see just how
much better —
through two
women's journeys
based on clinical
evidence in several
published studies



Sue undergoes
an abdominal
hysterectomy.

Here's what she
can expect:



Jane undergoes
a laparoscopic
hysterectomy.

Here's what she
can expect:

Average time in the hospital ¹⁻⁴	4.1 days**	1.9 days**
Average blood loss ²⁻⁴	649 cc**	263 cc
Chance she'll be on opioids three to five days after surgery ⁵	70%	22%
Postoperative costs ^{6*}	\$6,233	\$3,965

COMPARED TO SUE, JANE WILL



Spend
2.2 FEWER DAYS
in the hospital^{1-4**}



Lose
approximately
40% LESS
blood^{2-4**}



Be
3X LESS LIKELY
TO BE ON OPIOIDS
three – five days days
after surgery⁵



SAVE \$2,268
in postoperative
costs⁶



Return to normal activities
**13.6 DAYS
SOONER⁷**

HYSTERECTOMY. OPTIMIZED.

The reliable performance of LigaSure™ vessel-
sealing technology — in a device designed for
GYN procedures. With the speed and precision
of the Valleylab™ FT10 energy platform.

LigaSure™
Maryland jaw device

100% GYN SURGEONS
surveyed say the curved jaws allow
them to follow the shape of the uterus⁸

MINIMIZES STEPS
when sealing and dividing tissue⁸

**REDUCES
INSTRUMENT EXCHANGES⁸**



Learn more about the clinical and economic value of minimally invasive surgery:
[medtronic.com/covidien/en-gb/clinical-solutions.html](https://www.medtronic.com/covidien/en-gb/clinical-solutions.html)

* Findings from Australia

** Represents a weighted average of data from the cited references

1. Warren L, Ladapo JA, Borah BJ, Gunnarsson CL. Open abdominal versus laparoscopic and vaginal hysterectomy: analysis of a large United States payer measuring quality and cost of care. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol*. 2009 Sep-Oct;16(5):581-588.
2. Chalermchokchareonkit A, Tekasakul P, Chaisilwattana P, Sirimai K, Wahab N. Laparoscopic hysterectomy versus abdominal hysterectomy for severe pelvic endometriosis. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2012 Feb; 116(2):109-111.
3. Park JY, Kim DY, Kim JH, Kim YM, Kim YT, Nam JH. Laparoscopic versus open radical hysterectomy in patients with stage IB2 and IIA2 cervical cancer. *J Surg Oncol*. 2013 Jul;108(1):63-69.
4. Tinelli R, Litta P, Meir Y, et al. Advantages of laparoscopy versus laparotomy in extremely obese women (BMI>35) with early-stage endometrial cancer: a multicenter study. *Anticancer Res*. 2014 May;34(5):2497-2502.

5. Baker J, Janda M, Belavy D, Obermair A. Differences in epidural and analgesic use in patients with apparent stage I endometrial cancer treated by open versus laparoscopic surgery: results from the randomised LACE trial. *Minim Invasive Surg*. 2013;2013:764329.
6. Rhou YJ, Pather S, Loadsman JA, Campbell N, Philp S, Carter J. Direct hospital costs of total laparoscopic hysterectomy compared with fast-track open hysterectomy at a tertiary hospital: a retrospective case-controlled study. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2013 May 2. doi: 10.1111/ajo.12093. [Epub ahead of print].
7. Nieboer TE, Johnson N, Lethaby A, et al. Surgical approach to hysterectomy for benign gynaecological disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2009 Jul 8;(3):CD003677.
8. Medtronic internal report. Product Marketing Claims for LF1723, LF1737, LF1744 LigaSure™ Maryland Jaw Open/Laparoscopic Sealer/Divider. September 24, 2013. Based on internal test report. Maryland validation labs marketing report: independent surgeon feedback. April 16-18, 2013, and April 30-May 3, 2013.

© 2020 Medtronic. All rights reserved. Medtronic, Medtronic logo and Further, Together are trademarks of Medtronic. All other brands are trademarks of a Medtronic company. 17-cema-lap-hysterectomy-infographic-1661875 EMEA-SE-2000017

[medtronic.com/covidien/uk](https://www.medtronic.com/covidien/uk)

Medtronic
Further, Together